



## Bromesberrow St Mary's Church of England Primary School and Preschool

### **Pupil Premium Funding**

#### Action Plan 2017-18

Cohort 2017-2018: 9 children PP( 15%) - 4 FSM (10%) Non FSM: (64%) (figures based on September 2017)

Funding total based on last year's figures: £11,880

Early Years Pupil Premium EYPP: £286.20

This plan has been drawn up taking into account the research from the Education Endowment Foundation, staff, governors, parents and children.

The overall aims of the plan are to

- o Raise the in-school attainment of both disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- o Ensure that all children, regardless of socio-economic factors have access to the same educational opportunities.

1. Summary information							
School	Bromesberrow St. Mary's C of E Primary School						
Academic Year	2017-18	Total PP budget inc. EYPP	£12,166.20	Date of most recent PP Review	NA		
Total number of pupils	61	Number of pupils eligible for PP	9 + 1 EYPP	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 18		

2. C	urrent attainment				
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)		
	nieving the National Standard or above in reading, writing & maths puivalent)	KS1: Not eligible KS2: Not eligible Whole School: 6/9 (67%)			
% ma	king good progress measure in reading (or equivalent)	KS1: Not eligible KS2: Not eligible Whole School: 8/9 (89%)	tbc		
% ma	king good progress measure in writing (or equivalent)	KS1: Not eligible KS2: Not eligible Whole School: 8/9 (89%)	tbc		
% ma	% making good progress measure in maths (or equivalent)  KS1: Not eligible KS2: Not eligible Whole School: 8/9 (89%)				
3. B	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)				
In-sch	nool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langua	ge skills)			
A.	Speech and Language delay causing barriers to learning in the Early Years and Ks1				
B.	Attitude to learning and self-esteem causing barriers to children's achievement.				

C.	C. Poor spelling, handwriting and vocabulary skills impeding success in writing.					
Ex	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
D.	D. Travelling lifestyles leading to extended periods of absence from school, which leads to children falling behind and not making expected progress					
4. [	4. Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)  Success criteria					
A.	A. Improve oral language skills and comprehension in the early years and KS1 for eligible PP children Children to meet age related expectations					
B.	Raise children's self-esteem, in turn leading to an improved attitude and readiness to learn  90-100% of PP to make good progress					
C.	2. Improved outcomes in writing 90-100% of PP to make good progress					
D.	. Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP  Reduce the number of persistent absentees					

# 5. Planned expenditure

## Academic year

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

## i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented	Staff lead	Impact
Improve oral language skills and comprehension in the early years and KS1 for eligible PP children	Use of TalkBoost http://www.ican.org.u k/talkboost and SALT strategies (last year's training.) Need to have refresher training  Further Speech and Language targeted training for new Early Years staff.  Staff to be trained in Precision teaching intervention strategy.	Accredited by Early Talk and Primary Talk and supported by SALT NHS services. Strong case studies in schools to show impact on oral language improvement, behaviour, listening skills and attention.  Primary  EEF/Sutton Trust Toolkit Evidence 'Overall, the evidence suggests that early years and pre-school intervention is beneficial. On average, early years interventions have an impact of five additional months' progress, and appear to be particularly beneficial for children from low income families.'	Monitoring, observation and feedback, coordinated by the SENCO.	SENCO	Change after review: Children assessed against Talkboost criteria and did not hit the specific threshold level. Further assessment identified that children's speaking and listening was being inhibited by confidence barriers, and needed more in depth speech and language at a one to one level. Action: HLTA input in Zippy's and Apple's Friends training and resources. Impact: By July confidence and resilience levels observed and children who were below expectation in speaking and listening (which was impacting on literacy skills) Action: Speech and Language one to one sessions, leading on from SALT support. Impact: More parents engaged with external speech and language therapy.

Improve comprehension skills with year 1 and 2	Targeted support for pupils, small group and 1:1 work based on need. Use of more formalised comprehension texts. Use of film and pictures to aid comprehension	End of Key stage results and phonics screening check results show the children below national expected standards for reading and phonics.  Increased proportion of ks1 children working at age related expectations in reading.	Monitoring of phonics teaching and progress in Class 1 and 2 Interventions in Class 2 for those children who have not yet achieved a pass for phonics screening test.	Head and Literacy Lead	Impact of the TA targeted intervention groups (who had training to support delivery):  100% of children eligible for PP funding passed the phonics screening check.  100% were working at age related expectation in reading. This is significantly above school figures from the year previously and above national average.
ii. Targeted support					£2,000
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

leading to an improved attitude and readiness to learn.  Ks1 and Apple's Friends in KS2.  Use of collaborative learning  To offer reflexology to all vulnerable groups. Research and practice has shown a marked  Ks1 and Apple's (EEF Toolkit)  Toolkit)  Chasses Tegulation and Sch Tegulation (EEF Toolkit)	observation, focusing on children's attitudes and aspirations. Sources of evidence: Pupil Voice, Book Looks, Learning Walks, Progress Data and Lesson Observations  Silkie Weeks Reflexologist	lmpact of Zippy's and Apple's Friends can be seen in child voice, where they are more confidence identify and manage feelings and emotions. This has led to increased resilience in class, alongside our 3B4Me and growth mindset philosophy.  Reflexology was reviewed in November 2017, and yoga training was put in place. This was to support children to manage anxiety and as a school we have seen a strong impact on children's mental wellbeing, and in turn they have been more ready and able to learn.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	approach	rationale for this choice?	it is implemented	lead	implementation?
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure	Staff	When will you review
iii. Other approac	hes		,		
			Total budge	ted cost	£8,500
	years.				100% of PP children achieved GLD
	extended in the early				progress measure.
	opportunities		achieve writing ELG		attainment and 8/9 (89%) made good
	Mark making		100% of PP children		6/9 (67%) across the school in writing
	Apples and Pears.				PP Children:
	Precision Teaching		their peers		70% KS2 Writing
	Rapid Writing		have attained in line with		78% KS1 Writing
	Interventions:		60 % of PP children to		screening check
	Specific				100% of children passed the phonics
	and new spelling scheme.		measure in writing across the school.		1 to 1 and group work impact across the school (PP and non PP):
	Shed Plus materials		have made good progress		SENCO.
phonics	CPD using Literacy	Group tuition (EEF Toolkit)	90% of PP children to	Lead	outcomes. Also invested in a new
raising standards in				English	teacher to have a strong impact on
writing linked to	work.	Learning (EEF Toolkit)	and outcomes, aiming for:	and	additional support of a high quality
Improved outcomes in	1 to 1 and group	Individual tuition and Mastery	Regularly reviewing data	Head	After review: School bought into the

To increase attendance for all travelling children.	Work with families to increase awareness of the importance of good attendance and the impact of poor attendance on achievement.	research shows that in primary schools less than 65% of poor attenders get good results in Maths and English in schools with an average of 15 days absence compared to around 90% in schools where the average is less than 8 days. Many parents are surprised how quickly their children accumulate 15 days absence' <a href="http://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/CHttp://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=56832&amp;p=0">http://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=56832&amp;p=0</a>	Outcomes for travelling families eligible for PP to have improved due to better attendance or engagement with work outside of school.	Head	Travelling attendance increased from the previous year from 67.6% to 88.2%.  Significant improvement showing impact of whole school attendance strategy.
Support for vulnerable families to access trips and opportunities.	Support given for: Transport, trip costs, extracurricular activities and experiences	To ensure all children have equal opportunities in education, regardless of socio economic factors.	Evidence that all families feel supported and that all children have access to the same opportunities	Head and SBM	All children able to access the same opportunities and able to participate on an equal level to their peers.  PP children have strong aspirations and an appreciation of what the world has to offer. Pupil voice and their attitude to learning evidences this.
			Total budge	ted cost	£2,200